ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯುತವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡದೇ ಹೋದರೆ, ನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Waiving of Penal Interest By C.I.T,B.

794. SRI DODDATHIMMAIAH (Gulur):—

Will the Minister for Law and Municipal Administration be pleased to state.—

(*a*)whether it is a fact that the City Improvement Trust Board has recommeded to the Government for waiving the penal interest of 3 per cent of the economically weaker sections, who failed to pay the full price of the sites allotted to them within the specified time ;

(*b*) if so, the action the Government has taken on this recommendation ?

SRI D.K. NAIKER (Minister for Law and Munical Administration)

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Government in letter No. HMA 135 MNX 74, dated 15th July 1974 have directed the City Improvement Trust Board to propose draft amendment to the rules.

SRI DODDATHIMMAIAH —May I know, as it is already 9 months since you have addressed a letter to the Trust Board, whether you have received replies with regard to the proposal of amendments to the rules ?

† SRI D. K NAIKAR.—According to the information the received from the Chairman, the matter is placed before the Board. After the Board takes a decision the matter will be submitted to the Government.

SRI DODDATHIMMAIAH.—Is it a fact that the Trust Board has recommended that 15% penalty be levied on the economically stronger sections of the society ? What action have you taken on that ?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—No. In the amendments there were about four classes proposed by the C.I.T.B. One is economically weaker sections—no interest be levied, second is, people coming under middle income group interest leviable 9 to 12% ; for higher income group 9 to 15% and in respect of other sites sold by auction or sale 15% interest. So, under the rules there is no provision for leving higher interest on higher income group. So, draft amendments to rules are asked to be submitted to the Government and it is still before the Board.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—Is it not a fact that in the name of weaker sections, some of the economically stronger sections of the society are trying to take advantage of the concessions ? What action will you take to see that it is not misused ?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—It is only the proposed amendment. It is not in existence now. So, we have sought for certain clarifications from the Board. The question of taking undue advantage does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಚ್. ಜಯಪ್ರಕಾಶ್ ನಾರಾಯಣ್.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೈಸೂರು ಟ್ರಸ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಡವರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಬಡವರಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕವರು ಗೊತ್ತಾದ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸೈಟಿನ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಇವತ್ತು ಅವರು ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಅಲಾಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಯ್ಕರ್.—ರ್ಯೂಲಿ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಮೆಂಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವೀಕರ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಎಂದು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಪೆಸಿಫಿಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರೊವಿಜನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಅಂಥವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಲಾಭದಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಯಾರು ಎಂದರೆ,

Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, nomadic tribes, wandering tribe, denotified tribes, defence personnel and other disabled persons during the recent war whose annual income from all sources does not exceed Rs. 5000.

ಆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಜನರಿಗೆ ೩ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಈಗ ಪ್ರಪೋಸ್ಡ್ ಅಮೆಂಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಇದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ೩ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಟರೆಸ್ಟ್ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕು, ೬ ವರ್ಷದ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ by monthly instalment, quarterly instalment and lumpsum recovery ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ.

SRI DODDATHIMMAIAH.—There is a lacuna in your rules in the Trust Board Rules. When a private lay out is sanctioned, the party has to pay lay out charges within a specified time. If he does not pay, they charge interest. After he pays lay out charges, for months and years together they do not make the lay out, but they collect interest, for having paid the money late. You don't make the lay out for years together and you earn interest out of the money he deposits. Can you do something to remove this lacuna ?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—There is also a proposal to amend the rules charging 15 per cent interest in such cases.

Refund of 50 per cent of A.D.A. to Retired Government Employees

857. SRI V. N. PATIL (Humnabad)—

Will the Minister for Finance and Housing be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Government is aware of the fact that 50 per cent of the additional dearness allowance sanctioned to State Government Employees from 1-10-1974 and onwards is being compulsorily deposited under the Additional Emoluments (Compulsory Deposit) Act, 1974 ;

(*b*) if so, whether the Government is aware that the Government, Employees retiring after 1-10-1974 have to wait for 2 years to get back the 50 per cent of the of the amount so compulsorily deposited ;